

# **STUDY OF THE DYNAMICS OF FLUX TRAPPING IN DIFFERENT SRF MATERIALS**

F. Kramer, S. Keckert, O. Kugeler, J. Knobloch, T. Kubo

SRF 2023

**SRF** <sup>Grand</sup> Rapid USA

### INTRODUCTION

# Brief recap: Why do we care about trapped flux?

- Trapped flux reduces Q<sub>0</sub> in SRF cavities
- Double magnetic shielding reduces ambient Earth magnetic field from 50  $\mu$ T to < 1  $\mu$ T
- But it is impossible to completely shield off all magnetic fields
- Magnetic shielding in expensive
- Shielding does not reduce intrinsic fields caused by thermo currents
- Find a way to reduce flux trapping efficiency

ambient Earth magnetic field = 50  $\mu$ T





# **Trapped Flux: What is it?**

- When cooling down a Type I superconductor in an external magnetic field, it will transition into the *Meissner* state. In this state all magnetic field is expelled.
- However, there are small defects in the material which *pin* the quantized magnetic field lines and prevent them from being pushed out
- The pinned flux lines oscillate in the RF field and cause losses in the cavity wall [1]

[1] Gurevich, A. and Ciovati, G., Effect of vortex hotspots on the radio-frequency surface resistance, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2013



# **Trapped Flux: What is it?**

- When cooling down a Type I superconductor in an external magnetic field, it will transition into the *Meissner* state. In this state all magnetic field is expelled.
- However, there are small defects in the material which *pin* the quantized magnetic field lines and prevent them from being pushed out
- The pinned flux lines oscillate in the RF field and cause losses in the cavity wall [1]

[1] Gurevich, A. and Ciovati, G., Effect of vortex hotspots on the radio-frequency surface resistance, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2013



### EXPERIMENT

## **CONCEPT – USE FLAT SAMPLES**

- We want to understand trapped flux in more detail, before trying to minimize it
- To understand trapped flux, we need control parameters which might have an influence on it:
  - Temperature gradient
  - Cooldown rate
  - Ambient magnetic field
  - Impact of geometry (demagnetization factor, shape anisotropy)
  - Material & treatment

### Use samples instead of cavities

- Better Control of the parameters
- More cooling cycles possible (~300/day instead of ~3-4/day)
- Less material needed and treatments are easier to apply
- Easier geometry



AMR based magnetometry system developed at HZB for cavities in 2017  $\sim$  3-4 cycles per day



Felix Kramer

## **EXPERIMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE**

- Small glass cryostat
- Filled from helium Dewar
- No magnetic shielding
- Active field compensation with two Helmholtz coils and one solenoid
- Minimum flux density at location of reference sensors: < 15 nT (Earth magnetic field ~ 50 μT)
- Maximum flux density: 190 μT





### **EXPERIMENT**

### **EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

- Sample (100x60x3)mm, clamped in copper blocks at either end
- Dual heaters on the end of the blocks allow for precise control of temperature gradient and cooldown rate
- Blocks move electric heaters away from sample, this reduces magnetic fields from the heaters at the sample
- Setup is suspended above liquid helium bath
- Heater in helium is used to control helium gas flow
- 8 Cernox sensors measure temperature distribution across sample
- Multiple AMR sensors for magnetic field measurements
- grouping of 3 sensors to measure field vector in 3d
- 15 AMR sensor groups measure magnetic field just above the sample









### EXPERIMENT

### **EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

- Sample (100x60x3)mm, clamped in copper blocks at either end
- Dual heaters on the end of the blocks allow for precise control of temperature gradient and cooldown rate
- Blocks move electric heaters away from sample, this reduces magnetic fields from the heaters at the sample
- Setup is suspended above liquid helium bath
- Heater in helium is used to control helium gas flow
- 8 Cernox sensors measure temperature distribution across sample
- Multiple AMR sensors for magnetic field measurements
- grouping of 3 sensors to measure field vector in 3d
- 15 AMR sensor groups measure magnetic field just above the sample







- Apply external field  $B_{ext} = 100 \mu T$
- Set Temperature gradient across sample (∇T = 0.06 K/cm)
- Lower Sample temperature at top and bottom simultaneously
- After Sample is fully superconducting, remove external field  $B_{ext} = 0 \mu T$
- Measure trapped flux

$\vec{B}$	
<u></u>	
10	

- Apply external field  $B_{ext} = 100 \mu T$
- Set Temperature gradient across sample (∇T = 0.06 K/cm)
- Lower Sample temperature at top and bottom simultaneously
- After Sample is fully superconducting, remove external field B<sub>ext</sub> = 0 μT
- Measure trapped flux





- Apply external field  $B_{ext} = 100 \mu T$
- Set Temperature gradient across sample (∇T = 0.06 K/cm)
- Lower Sample temperature at top and bottom simultaneously
- After Sample is fully superconducting, remove external field B<sub>ext</sub> = 0 μT
- Measure trapped flux







- Apply external field  $B_{ext} = 100 \mu T$
- Set Temperature gradient across sample (∇T = 0.06 K/cm)
- Lower Sample temperature at top and bottom simultaneously
- After Sample is fully superconducting, remove external field B<sub>ext</sub> = 0 μT
- Measure trapped flux







- Apply external field  $B_{ext} = 100 \mu T$
- Set Temperature gradient across sample (∇T = 0.06 K/cm)
- Lower Sample temperature at top and bottom simultaneously
- After Sample is fully superconducting, remove external field B<sub>ext</sub> = 0 μT
- Measure trapped flux



 $\vec{B}$ 



## **TRAPPED FLUX VS TEMPERATURE GRADIENT**

• Vary temperature gradient while keeping external magnetic field and cooldown rate constant

 $B_{ext} = 100 \ \mu T$ dT/dt = 0.07 K/s

- Comparison of three samples

   Nb large-grain (untreated)
   Nb fine-grain (untreated)
   Nb coated on Cu (4 μm)
- Less trapped flux with higher temperature gradient
- Large grain material allows near 100% flux expulsion
- No effect for coated sample





## **TRAPPED FLUX VS TEMPERATURE GRADIENT**

• Vary temperature gradient while keeping external magnetic field and cooldown rate constant

$$\begin{split} B_{ext} &= 100 \; \mu T \\ dT/dt &= 0.07 \; \text{K/s} \end{split}$$

- Comparison of three samples

   Nb large-grain (untreated)
   Nb fine-grain (untreated)
   Nb coated on Cu (4 μm)
- Less trapped flux with higher temperature gradient
- Large grain material allows near 100% flux expulsion
- No effect for coated sample

Nearly full expulsion with untreated large-grain Thin film is sufficient to have nearly full trapping



Felix Kramer



### **TRAPPED FLUX VS AMBIENT FIELD**

- Vary magnetic field strength with constant temperature gradient and cooldown rate
- Change Temperature gradient for different measurement runs
   Large grain sample

dT/dt = 0.07K/s ∇T = 0 K/cm; 0.04 K/cm; 0.1 K/cm

- Flux is not trapped below a gradient-dependent threshold field
- Above threshold the dependence is linear
- Slope decreases with increasing temperature gradient
- This threshold behavior is not observed in fine-grain sample





Felix Kramer

### **TRAPPED FLUX VS AMBIENT FIELD**

- Vary magnetic field strength with constant temperature gradient and cooldown rate
- Change Temperature gradient for different measurement runs
   Large grain sample

dT/dt = 0.07K/s ∇T = 0 K/cm; 0.04 K/cm; 0.1 K/cm

- Flux is not trapped below a gradient-dependent threshold field
- Above threshold the dependence is linear
- Slope decreases with increasing temperature gradient
- This threshold behavior is not observed in fine-grain sample



0

Applied Field [µT]

50

100



150

200



-200

-150

-100

-50

Frapped Flux [µT]

Felix Kramer

## **TRAPPED FLUX VS AMBIENT FIELD**

- Vary magnetic field strength with constant temperature ٠ gradient and cooldown rate
- **Change Temperature gradient for different measurement** • runs Large grain sample

dT/dt = 0.07 K/s∇T = 0 K/cm; 0.04 K/cm; 0.1 K/cm

- Flux is not trapped below a gradient-dependent threshold ٠ field
- Above threshold the dependence is linear •
- Slope decreases with increasing temperature gradient ٠
- This threshold behavior is not observed in fine-grain sample ٠





٠

### **TRAPPED FLUX VS AMBIENT FIELD**

- Vary magnetic field strength with constant temperature ٠ gradient and cooldown rate
- **Change Temperature gradient for different measurement** • runs Large grain sample

dT/dt = 0.07 K/s∇T = 0 K/cm; 0.04 K/cm; 0.1 K/cm

- Flux is not trapped below a gradient-dependent threshold ٠ field
- Above threshold the dependence is linear •
- Slope decreases with increasing temperature gradient •
  - This threshold behavior is not observed in fine-grain sample



### Temperature gradient dependent threshold field



RESULTS

## **TRAPPED FLUX VS COOLDOWN RATE**

- Vary cooldown rate while keeping temperature gradient ٠ and external magnetic field constant
- Alter temperature gradient for different measurement ٠ series

Large grain sample  $B_{ext} = 100 \mu T$ ∇T = 0.04 K/cm; 0.07 K/cm; 0.1 K/cm

Increasing Trapped Flux with decreasing Transition Time ٠

20

No difference after ~10s •



HZB Helmholtz

Zentrum Berlin

### **TRAPPED FLUX VS COOLDOWN RATE**

- Vary cooldown rate while keeping temperature gradient ٠ and external magnetic field constant
- Alter temperature gradient for different measurement ٠ series

Large grain sample  $B_{ext} = 100 \mu T$ ∇T = 0.04 K/cm; 0.07 K/cm; 0.1 K/cm

- Increasing Trapped Flux with decreasing Transition Time ٠
- No difference after ~10s •





### **TRAPPED FLUX VS COOLDOWN RATE**

- Vary cooldown rate while keeping temperature gradient ٠ and external magnetic field constant
- Alter temperature gradient for different measurement ٠ series

Large grain sample  $B_{ext} = 100 \mu T$ ∇T = 0.04 K/cm; 0.07 K/cm; 0.1 K/cm

- Increasing Trapped Flux with decreasing Transition Time ٠
- No difference after ~10s ٠





RESULTS

## **TRAPPED FLUX VS COOLDOWN RATE**

- Vary cooldown rate while keeping temperature gradient ٠ and external magnetic field constant
- Alter temperature gradient for different measurement ٠ series

Large grain sample  $B_{ext} = 100 \mu T$ ∇T = 0.04 K/cm; 0.07 K/cm; 0.1 K/cm

- Increasing Trapped Flux with decreasing Transition Time ٠
- No difference after ~10s ٠





HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin

### RESULTS

### **TRAPPED FLUX VS COOLDOWN RATE**

- Vary cooldown rate while keeping temperature gradient ٠ and external magnetic field constant
- Alter temperature gradient for different measurement ٠ series

Large grain sample  $B_{ext} = 100 \mu T$ ∇T = 0.04 K/cm; 0.07 K/cm; 0.1 K/cm

- Increasing Trapped Flux with decreasing Transition Time ٠
- No difference after ~10s ٠



90 r

80

70

24

 $\times \times$ 

∇T = 0.04 K/cm ∇T = 0.07 K/cm

∇T = 0.1 K/cm

### More details on Poster TUPTB002

## BASE MODEL

- Describes trapped flux in dependence of temperature gradient and external magnetic field
- During Cooldown sample is in three states simultaneously
- Quantized flux lines establish at  $x_{c2}$
- In Shubnikov phase flux are pushed towards Meissner state at x<sub>c1</sub> by the thermal force f<sub>th</sub> = a ∇T [2]
- Mechanism at *x*<sub>c1</sub> unclear at the moment:

Flux lines are trapped if they are at pinning center when  $x_{c1}$  reaches them, otherwise they are expelled

Thermal force can push flux lines over pinning centers if  $f_{th} > f_p$ 

[2] R. P. Huebener, "Superconductors in a temperature gradient," *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 189–198, 1995





## **BASE MODEL**

- Introduce distribution function  $n(f_p)$  describing probability of flux line to interact with pinning center with  $f_p$
- $\int_0^\infty n(f_p) \mathrm{d}f_p = 1$
- Calculate ratio of expelled flux lines

$$r(\nabla T) = \int_{f_p < f_{th}} n(f_p) \mathrm{d}f_p$$

- Two assumptions
  - 1.  $f_0 < f_{th max} < f_1$

2. 
$$n(f_p < f_0) = n_0 = const.$$



More details on Poster TUPTB002



## **BASE MODEL**

- Introduce distribution function  $n(f_p)$  describing probability ٠ of flux line to interact with pinning center with  $f_p$
- $\int_0^\infty n(f_p) \mathrm{d}f_p = 1$
- Calculate ratio of expelled flux lines ٠

$$r(\nabla T) = \int_{f_p < f_{th}} n(f_p) \mathrm{d}f_p$$

**Two assumptions** ٠



HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin

## **BASE MODEL**

- Introduce distribution function  $n(f_p)$  describing probability of flux line to interact with pinning center with  $f_p$
- $\int_0^\infty n(f_p) \mathrm{d}f_p = 1$
- Calculate ratio of expelled flux lines

$$r(\nabla T) = \int_{f_p < f_{th}} n(f_p) \mathrm{d}f_p$$

- Two assumptions
  - 1.  $f_0 < f_{th max} < f_1$ 2.  $n(f_p < f_0) = n_0 = const.$





MODEL

### More details on Poster TUPTB002 **BASE MODEL** Introduce distribution function $n(f_p)$ describing probability • 100 of flux line to interact with pinning center with $f_p$ $\mathbf{H}$ large grain 90 linear model • $\int_0^\infty n(f_p) \mathrm{d}f_p = 1$ 80 Calculate ratio of expelled flux lines • 70 $r(\nabla T) = \int_{f_p < f_{th}} n(f_p) \mathrm{d}f_p$ Trapped Flux [µT] 60 50 **Two assumptions** ٠ 40 1. $f_0 < f_{th max} < f_1$ 2. $n(f_p < f_0) = n_0 = const.$ 30 20 $n(f_p)$ 10 1.\*1 0 0 0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.1 0.12 0.14 0.16 0.18 0.2 $n_0$ ∇T [K/cm] $f_0$ $f_1$ $f_2$ $f_3$ $f_4$ $f_p$

29

### Felix Kramer

HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin

Felix Kramer

### More details on Poster TUPTB002

### **REFINED MODEL**

- Linear fits of trapped flux versus external field data
- Plot slope, x-axis, and y-axis crossing versus temperature gradient
- Slope and x-axis crossing show linear dependency on temperature gradient
- => y-axis crossing must have quadratic dependency on temperature gradient







HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin

30

### More details on Poster TUPTB002

### **REFINED MODEL**

- Linear fits of trapped flux versus external field data
- Plot slope, x-axis, and y-axis crossing versus temperature gradient
- Slope and x-axis crossing show linear dependency on temperature gradient
- => y-axis crossing must have quadratic dependency on temperature gradient







HZB Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin

Felix Kramer

31

### More details on Poster TUPTB002

### **REFINED MODEL**

- Linear fits of trapped flux versus external field data ٠
- Plot slope, x-axis, and y-axis crossing versus • temperature gradient
- Slope and x-axis crossing show linear dependency ۲ on temperature gradient
- => y-axis crossing must have quadratic dependency ۲ on temperature gradient







Felix Kramer

### More details on Poster TUPTB002

### **REFINED MODEL**

- Linear fits of trapped flux versus external field data
- Plot slope, x-axis, and y-axis crossing versus temperature gradient
- Slope and x-axis crossing show linear dependency on temperature gradient
- => y-axis crossing must have quadratic dependency on temperature gradient





Trapped Flux [µT]



Felix Kramer

## **REFINED MODEL**

More details on Poster TUPTB002

• Quadratic term results in a quadratic correction term in the model





### **REFINED MODEL**

- Quadratic term results in a quadratic correction term in the model
- Obtained fit parameters can be used to predict trapped flux at different external flux densities





### **REFINED MODEL**

- Quadratic term results in a quadratic correction term in the model
- Obtained fit parameters can be used to predict trapped flux at different external flux densities



## CONCLUSION

### **Temperature Gradient / Material**

- Better expulsion at higher gradients
- Large grain expels flux much more efficiently than fine grain
- Above 0.1 K/cm all flux is expelled in large grain sample

### External magnetic field

- Depending on temperature gradient, flux is only trapped above threshold field
- Linear dependency above threshold field

### **Cooldown speed**

• Flux needs time to exit the superconductor

### Model

- Agrees well with data, and correctly predicts trapped flux at different external flux densities
- Still open questions regarding dynamics at Meissner phase front, and origin of threshold field.

## OUTLOOK

- Investigate different materials (N infused Nb, Nb3Sn, multilayer) and treatments (surface- and heat treatment)
- Develop model further and address open questions
- Develop methods to decrease trapped flux

